

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Alberto Torres,)	No. CV-09-01477-PHX-ROS
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER
)	
vs.)	
)	
City of Phoenix, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

On July 13, 2010, Magistrate Judge Mark E. Aspey issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending that this case be dismissed. Plaintiff did not file any objections.


A district judge “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 USC § 636(b). Where any party has filed timely objections to the magistrate judge’s report and recommendations, the district court’s review of the part objected to is to be *de novo*. *Id.* If, however, no objections are filed, the district court need not conduct such a review. *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (“Following *Reyna-Tapia*, this Court concludes that *de novo* review of factual and legal issues is required if objections are made, but not otherwise.”) (internal quotations and citations omitted). Plaintiff did not file any objections and the R&R will be adopted in full.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 16) is **ADOPTED**. The Clerk shall close this case.

DATED this 30th day of August, 2010.



Roslyn O. Silver
United States District Judge